

Adopted amendments by Plenary are in **red**.

F6 Technical Meeting report - March 28, 2008

The technical meeting was attended by representatives from 11 countries

Attending:

Italy Franco Givone, Vittorio Givone, Fabrizio Ceccarini

Belgium Robert Herzog (delegate) – Paulette Halleux, Observer

Finland Erkki Arima (delegate)

Germany Ralf Decker (F3 soaring s/c member), Philip Kolb (observer)

Luxembourg Ernest Matiusi (delegate)

Croatia Zoran Lulic (delegate)

Switzerland Rolf Girsberger (delegate)

USA Terry Edmonds (F6 W.G. Member)

the Netherlands Peter Keim (delegate)

Czech Republic Tomas Bartovsky (delegate – F6 W.G. Member)

Guy Revel (F6 W.G. chairman)

a) 6.1. - 6.1.13.5. - Artistic Aerobatics (F6 Working Group)

(rewriting of the set of rules, merging F6A & F6C, adding jet planes and with simplifications aimed at reducing the competition duration)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstentions

AeroMusicals

b) 6.2.7.4. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 9 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstention

c) Number of rounds (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 10 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstention

d) 6.2.8. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

e) 6.2.8.3. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

f) 6.2.9. - Timing procedures (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

g) 6.2.9.2. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

h) 6.2.10.1. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended as amended by the technical meeting

(.../...) If the competitor fails to take off within 15 seconds **after he has been given permission to start... (.../...)**

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

i) 6.2.11.1.2. (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

j) Annexes (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

F6D Hand Thrown Gliders

j) 6.4.1 . General (Germany)

Not recommended and referred back to the Working Group

Vote : 5 in favour, 3 against, 3 abstentions

k) Definition of Hand Thrown Gliders (Germany)

Recommended as amended by the technical meeting :

Vote : 8 in favour, 0 against, 1 abstention

Amend last paragraph of 6.4.2. to read:

Each competitor must provide **at least three** frequencies on which his model aircraft may

be operated to allow the organiser to set up flight groups and the organiser...

l) 6.4.2. Definition of Hand Thrown Gliders (Germany)

Not recommended and referred back to the Working Group (unanimous)

m) 6.4.3. Definition of the flying field (Germany)

Not recommended and referred back to the Working Group (unanimous)

n) 6.4.4. Definition of landing (Germany)

Not recommended to the Working Group

Vote : 2 in favour, 1 against, 7 abstentions

o) 6.4.5. Flight time (Germany)

Not recommended to the Working Group

Vote : 0 in favour, 6 against, 1 abstentions

p) 6.4.6. Organisation of rounds (F6 Working Group & Bureau)

The technical meeting felt that the two proposals under 6.4.6. needed further study and recommended to refer them to the F6 Working Group.

However, a change was necessary so as to make the rules suitable for the 2009 World Air Games.

As an interim measure, the technical meeting recommends to adopt an amended version of the Bureau proposal for use in 2009 and to refer back the original proposal together with the German proposal to the F6 Working Group for a suitable final wording applicable after 2009.

Unanimously recommended by the technical meeting

Replace current 6.4.6. with:

6.4.6. Organisation of rounds

The competitors are arranged in groups. A group should be a minimum of 5 pilots. The contest is organised in qualifying, semi-final and fly-off rounds.

At qualifying rounds the task 1 and 2 is flown. The start and end of the working time are announced with a sound-signalling device. The results are normalised within each group, 1000 points being the basis for the winner of the group.

To the semi-final rounds the best pilot from each qualifying group proceeds. Other pilots, up to a maximum the number of 24, proceed to semi-final according to their normalised results. In case of tie at last proceeding places a draw decides.

At semi-final rounds the pilots fly task 2 in three groups (or two groups if the number of qualified pilots is less than 15).

To the final (fly-off) group the best pilot from each semi-final group proceeds. Other five pilots proceed to final according to their normalised results. In case of tie at last proceeding places, the pilot with better result from qualifying rounds proceeds.

At fly-off eight pilots fly in one group. All pilots with non zero score proceed to the following round.

Usually the number of pilots is reduced by one at each consecutive round, so that at the last round only two pilots compete for the total winner. If in any round all pilots get zero or maximum score the round is repeated
For each round, the competitors receive at least 2 minutes preparation time, as announced by the organiser. During the preparation time, the competitor is allowed to turn on and check his radio, but is not allowed any launch of his glider, either outside or inside the launching and landing area.

Vote : 11 in favour, 0 against, 0 abstention

p) 6.4.6. Organisation of rounds (Germany)

This proposal is referred back to the Working Group together with proposal o)

r) 6.4.8 Tasks (Germany)

Not recommended and referred back to the Working Group (unanimous)

Meeting concluded 12h 40

Guy Revel

Chairman, F6 Working Group